## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Jun 16 2021

OAK	LAND DIVISION	Jun 16 2021	
United States of America,	) Case No. 21-cr-244-HSG	SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND	
Plaintiff, v.	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLU ) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL		
Joseph Aaron McClenahan	)		
Defendant(s).	)		
or the reasons stated by the parties on the record of rial Act from June 16, 2021 to July 26, 2021 ontinuance outweigh the best interest of the public 161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and be	and finds that the ends of justice so and the defendant in a speedy trial. See	served by the 18 U.S.C. §	
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	d be likely to result in a miscarriage of jus	tice.	
The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).			
	Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
disposition of criminal cases, the corparagraph and — based on the particular the time limits for a preliminary heat extending the 30-day time period for	and taking into account the public interest urt sets the preliminary hearing to the date es' showing of good cause — finds good tring under Federal Rule of Criminal Proc r an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).	e set forth in the first cause for extending edure 5.1 and for	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Danne		
DATED: June 16, 2021		_	
	Donna M. Ryu United States Magistrate Judge		
CTIDLII ATED del leves le suit	la/ Nach Starr		
STIPULATED: /s/ Joyce Leavitt Attorney for Defendant	/s/ Noah Stern Assistant United States Attorney	<del>-</del>	